

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,450	08/20/2003		Katrina Schmidt	12166	7041
28484	7590	05/05/2008		EXAMINER	
BASF AKT	IENGES	ELLSCHAFT			
CARL-BOSC	CH STRA	ASSE 38, 67056 LUI	DWIGSHAFEN		,
LUDWIGSHAFEN, 69056				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GERMANY	•				

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Votifica	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/644,450	SCHMIDT ET AL					
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit					
		John Cooney	1796					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence	address				
The Appeal Brief filed on 29 April 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.								
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.								
1. 🗆	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected t canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).							
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CF	R				
8.	other evidence entered by the examiner and re	nce submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any elied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a t evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).							
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):						
	1.) The status of claims section claim 27 should not 2.) In the argument section of the brief each ground rejection applying to two or claims, the claims may be placed under a subheading identifying claim by n	of rejection must be treated under a be argued separately or as group. Th	separate heading	Grounds of				
Timothy Cole								